IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

DALLAS DIVISION

§

§

§

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Plaintiff.

VS.

FUNDING RESOURCE GROUP, a/k/a FRG Trust, et al.

Defendants.

and

HOWE FINANCIAL TRUST, an Indiana corporation, et al,

> **Defendants Solely for Purposes** of Equitable Relief.

FEB - 5 2002 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Deputy

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:98-CV-2689-M

U.S. DIS

## RECEIVER'S LIMITED OBJECTION TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE REGARDING CLAIMS ASSERTED AGAINST THE FUNDING RESOURCES GROUP RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE

TO THE HONORABLE BARBARA M.G. LYNN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Michael J. Quilling, ("Receiver"), the Receiver appointed in these proceedings files this Limited Objection to the Findings and Recommendation issued by the Magistrate Judge with respect to claims asserted against the Funding Resources Group Receivership Estate and in support of such would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

On December 7, 2001, the Receiver filed his Unopposed Motion to Establish 1. Procedures regarding claims against the Funding Resources Group Receivership Estate. On December 10, 2001, the Magistrate Judge issued an Order which required the Receiver to file a Statement of Allowed Claims and Objectionable Claims on or before December 21, 2001. A hearing was scheduled and held by the Magistrate Judge on January 25, 2002 with respect to any objectionable claims.

- 2. In accordance with the foregoing Order, on December 21, 2001, the Receiver filed his Objections to Claims asserted against the Funding Resources Group Receivership Estate.
- 3. As set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Objections, the Receiver objected to several claims as relating to a purported investment program called Arrow Resources. After hearing and thoughtful analysis the Magistrate Judge recommended allowing the claims. The Receiver does not take issue here with the decision to allow the claims. However, the Receiver does submit that the <u>amount of several of these claims</u> are incorrect and need to be adjusted.
- 4. Specifically, the written Objection and the evidence presented indicates that the following claims should be for the following amounts:

<u>Name</u>	Allowed Amount	Correct Allowed Amount
Michael Arnold	\$9,000.00	\$12,000.00
William Hutton	\$12,000.00	\$16,000.00
Tim Long	\$12,000.00	\$16,000.00
Steven Morris	\$117,000.00	\$156,000.00

The four individuals listed above invested an aggregate of \$250,000 as follows: (1) Michael Arnold-\$15,000.00; (2) William Hutton - \$20,000.00; (3) Tim Long - \$20,000.00; and (4) Steven Morris - \$195,000.00. The group received back an aggregate of \$50,000.00 which they allocated as follows: (1) Michael Arnold - \$3,000.00; (2) William Hutton - \$4,000.00; (3) Tim Long - \$4,000.00; and (4) Steven Morris - \$39,000.00. When these returns are offset against the original amounts invested,

the amounts stated by the Magistrate Judge are too low and should be increased as suggested above.1

The "evidence" to support the foregoing numbers is found under TAB 1 of the notebook relating to

Arrow investors submitted at the hearing. For the Court's convenience, a portion of the materials

(the Claim Form submitted) is attached as Exhibit "1." This document, submitted by the claimants

reflects the correct allowed amount. The Receiver requests that the Court sustain this Objection and

allow the claims in the increased amounts.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Receiver prays that upon final

hearing and consideration of this matter that the Court grant the foregoing relief and for such other

and further relief, general or special, at law or in equity, to which the Receiver may show himself

justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

QUILLING, SELANDER, CUMMISKEY

& LOWNDS, P.C.

2001 Bryan Street

Suite 1800

Dallas, Texas 75201-4240

(214) 871-2100 (Telephone)

(214) 871-2111 (Facsimile)

Bv:

Michael J. Quilling

State Bar No. 16432\( \beta 00 \)

ATTORNEYS FOR RECEIVER

It appears that the Magistrate Judge deducted the amount of the return from the amount of each claim submitted. However, the actual claim submitted has already offset the returns.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the \_\_\_\_\_day of February, 2002 a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via first class mail, postage pre-paid, on:

Robert B. Brunig Securities & Exchange Commission 801 Cherry Street, 19th Floor Fort Worth, TX 76102

Mr. Michael Arnold 18315 Forest Town Houston, TX 77084

William Hutton 4809 Saint Andrews Drive College Station, TX 77845

Tim Long 14918 Stonemeade Place Cyprus, TX 77429

Steven Morris 14703 Cindywood Houston, TX 77079

Michael J. Quilling