ORIGINAL

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXA\$ DALLAS DIVISION

	U. S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED					
OURT	JUN 2 7 2000					
NANCY DOHERTY, CLERK dyd						
-	Deputy					

MICHAEL J. QUILLING, as Receiver for Hammersmith Trust, LLC, Hammersmith \$\footnote{\text{Trust, Ltd., Microfund, LLC, and}}\$\footnote{\text{8}}\$\ \text{B. David Gilliland}\$\footnote{\text{8}}\$\ \text{Plaintiff,}\$\footnote{\text{8}}\$\ \text{VS.}\$\ \footnote{\text{5}}\$\ \text{JERROLD L. GUNN, ET AL.}\$\ \footnote{\text{8}}\$\ \text{Defendants.}\$

JUN 28 2888
US DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE

NO. 3-00-CV-1318-M

## **ORDER**

This is a civil action brought by Michael J. Quilling, as Receiver for Hammersmith Trust, LLC and related entities, to recover monies allegedly paid to defendants in furtherance of an illegal "ponzi" scheme. In an effort to control the costs of this litigation and maximize the return of funds to defrauded investors, the Court determines that the Receiver should prepare a litigation budget for this case. Accordingly, the Receiver is ordered to complete the following budget by July 25, 2000:

Stage	Total Hours (Estimate)	Attorney Compensation (Hourly Rate)	Estimated Costs	<u>T'otal</u>
Preliminary Investigation	***************************************			
Legal Research				
Pleading Preparation				
Formal Discovery		**************************************		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Receiver has already been paid more than \$800,000 for work performed in a related lawsuit, Securities and Exchange Commission v. Funding Resource Group a/k/a FRG Trust, et al., No. 3-98-CV-2689-M.

		Total Estimated Fee:		
Trial	**************************************	•	***************************************	
Trial Preparation	***************************************	William Control of the Control of th	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Dispositive Motions			***************************************	
Expert Witnesses				

The Receiver shall also provide the Court with a good faith estimate of the amount of money he realistically expects to recover on behalf of the defrauded investors as a direct result of this lawsuit.

Upon review of this budget, the Court will either approve or disapprove the projected attorney's fees and expenditures and establish a mechanism for the payment of fees to the Receiver.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 27, 2000.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE