


LW/O  
ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION



SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, §  
Plaintiff, §

v. §

MEGAFUND CORPORATION, STANLEY A. §  
LEITNER, SARDAUKAR HOLDINGS, IBC., §  
and BRADLEY C. STARK, CIG, LTD., and §  
JAMES A. RUMPF, Individually and d/b/a §  
CILAK INTERNATIONAL, §

Defendants, §

and §

PAMELA C. STARK, §

Relief Defendant. §

Civil Action No. 3:05-CV-1328-L

**RECEIVER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SELL REAL PROPERTY AT PRIVATE  
SALE FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL LIENS, CLAIMS AND  
ENCUMBRANCES AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT  
(EAGLES NEST)**

TO THE HONORABLE SAM A. LINDSAY, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

COMES NOW, Michael J. Quilling ("Receiver"), and files his Unopposed Motion to Sell Real Property at Private Sale Free and Clear of all Liens, Claims and Encumbrances and Brief in Support (Eagles Nest) and in support of such would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

**Background Facts**

1. On July 1, 2005 the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") initiated these proceedings and, in connection therewith, sought the appointment of a receiver. On July 5, 2005 the Court issued an Order appointing Michael J. Quilling Receiver as to the Defendants and the Equity Relief Defendants named in the Complaint at that time. On July 18, 2005 the SEC

filed an Amended Complaint and sought to add other individuals and entities to the receivership. On July 19, 2005 the Court issued an Amended Order Appointing Receiver. One of the entities to which the Receivership Order applies is CILAK International and its related entities, CILAK Properties I and CILAK Properties II, all of which are owned and controlled by James Rumpf (collectively "CILAK").

2. Subsequent to his appointment, the Receiver has taken control and possession of certain real property in which CILAK has an interest located in Denton County, Texas, having a street address of 110 Eagles Nest Circle, Argyle, Texas (the "Property"), more particularly described as Lot 2, Block B, CANYON OAKS ADDITION, an Addition to Denton County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof recorded in Cabinet E, Page 198, Plat Records, Denton County, Texas.

3. On September 26, 2005 [Docket No. 62] the Receiver filed his Unopposed Motion to Sell Property at Private Sale and to Appoint Appraisers and Brief in Support. By Order dated September 27, 2005 [Docket No. 64] the Court authorized the Receiver to begin efforts to sell the Property through a private sale.

4. The Receiver has received an offer from Don and Melissa Leonard to purchase the Property for \$400,400.00, which the Receiver has accepted subject to court approval. The written Contract for Sale is the result of substantial arms-length negotiation between the Receiver and the proposed buyer. Pursuant to the Contract for Sale, real estate commissions and normal closing costs are to be deducted from the gross sale proceeds at closing along with a \$25,000.00 decorating allowance.

#### **Argument and Authorities**

5. 28 U.S.C. § 2001 provides that a Receiver may sell property at private sale if certain conditions are satisfied. First, a sale must be for at least two-thirds of the appraised value as

established by three disinterested persons appointed by the Court to appraise the Property. The appointment of those appraisers is the subject of the Receiver's Motion which was filed on September 26, 2005 [Docket No. 62] and granted on September 27, 2005 [Docket No. 64]. Pursuant to that Order, the Receiver engaged the services of three appraisers and each of them have provided written appraisals to the Receiver. The average amount of the three appraisals is \$417,670.00. Accordingly, the proposed sale price (\$400,400.00) is well within the allowable two-thirds range.

6. The Court must also find that the proposed sale is such that the best interests of estate will be conserved thereby. This condition is easily satisfied because of the proposed sale. A sale now will allow future maintenance and insurance costs as well as taxes and utilities to be avoided by the estate. Assuming the Court grants this Motion and the ten-day notice period with respect to the notice which must be published in the newspaper (discussed below) has expired, the Contract for Sale is set to close on December 29, 2005.

7. The Court cannot confirm the private sale until the terms of the sale are published in a newspaper of general circulation. The Receiver is advised that the newspaper of general circulation in the area is *News Connection*. The Receiver requests that the Court direct and order that the terms of the proposed private sale of the Property be published in *News Connection* for ten (10) days. In that regard, the Receiver proposes to use the language in the form set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

8. 28 U.S.C. § 2001 also provides that the sale cannot be approved if a bona fide offer is received which is at least 10% more than the proposed published sale price. The Receiver requests that if no such increased offer is received after publication of the proposed sale that he be allowed to close the proposed sale.

9. The district court has wide discretion in judging whether a receiver's sale is fair in terms and result and serves the best interests of the estate. *Fleet National Bank v. H&D Entertainment, Inc.*, 96 F.3d 532 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1996), citing *United States v. Peter*, 777 F.2d 1294, 1298 n.6 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) and *United States v. Branch Coal*, 390 F.2d 7, 10 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir.), cert. Denied, 391 U.S. 966, 88 S.Ct. 2034 (1968). The court has broad discretion in setting the terms of conditions of a sale under 28 USC §2001. *United States v. Hundwardsen*, 39 F.Supp.2d 1157 (N.D. Iowa 1999), citing *United States v. Branch Coal Corp.*, 390 F.2d 7, 10 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir.), cert. Denied, 391 U.S. 966, 88 S.Ct. 2034 (1968); see *United States v. Garcia*, 474 F.2d 1202, 1206 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1973). The court must decide whether, based on the record made by the parties, the best interest of the estate will be served by a public or a private sale. *Id.* However, section 2001(b) "limits the receiver's ability to sell foreclosed property at a private sale for an unfair price" by setting in place appraisal procedures and acceptable price limits. *United States v. Stonehill*, 83 F.3d 1156 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996). Section 2001 "contemplates compliance with certain procedures designed to protect the best interest of the estate" *Tanzier v. Huffines*, 412 F.2d 221 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1969).

10. In general, the court has broad discretion to set the terms of a public sale; whereas it must generally follow the procedures in place for a private sale. See *Tanzier v. Huffines*, 412 F.2d 221 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1969)(federal statute expresses preferential course to be followed in connection with a court authorized sale of *personal property* and district court should not order otherwise except *under extraordinary circumstances*). In cases involving the private sale of realty, the courts have consistently adhered to the procedures outlined in section 2001(b). See *United States v. Garcia*, 474 f.2d 1202 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1973)(court scrupulously adhered to statutory requirements of section 2001 for judicial sale of realty); *U.S. v. "A" Manufacturing Company*, 541 F.2d 504 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976)(affirming court confirmation of realty sale for greater price than highest appraisal value). Consequently, broad

discretion of the court does not include bypassing the specific procedures set out in section 2001(b). To bypass the stringent requirements of 2001(b), the court can order a public sale under 2001(a) and set the terms and conditions as it so desires.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Receiver respectfully prays that upon final hearing and consideration of this matter that the Court approve a private sale of the real property located at 110 Eagles Nest Circle, Argyle, Texas, free and clear of all liens, claims and encumbrances, and that the Receiver be authorized to publish the proposed sale in *News Connection*, and for such other and further relief, general or special, at law or in equity, to which the Receiver may show himself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

QUILLING SELANDER CUMMISKEY & LOWNDS, P.C.  
2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800  
Dallas, Texas 75201-4240  
Telephone (214) 871-2100  
Facsimile (214) 871-2111

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Michael J. Quilling, SBN 16432300  
Email: [mquilling@qsclpc.com](mailto:mquilling@qsclpc.com)  
D. Dee Raibourn, III, SBN 24009495  
Email: [draibourn@qsclpc.com](mailto:draibourn@qsclpc.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR RECEIVER

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**


I have conferred with counsel of record regarding the relief requested in this motion and they do not oppose the relief requested.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Quilling

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Motion was served, via first class mail, with full and proper postage prepaid thereon, to:

Stephen J. Korotash Securities and Exchange Commission 801 Cherry Street, Suite 1900 Fort Worth, Texas 76102	Scott Baker 10830 North Central Expressway Suite 475, B4 Dallas, Texas 75231
Jeffrey Henderson Henderson & Lyman 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 240 Chicago, Illinois 60604	CIG, Ltd. c/o James Rumpf 2300 Tahoe Denton, Texas 76210
James Rumpf, Individually and d/b/a CILAK International 2300 Tahoe Denton, Texas 76210	Steve Smoot Smoot Law Firm, P.C. 4545 Mt. Vernon Houston, Texas 77006

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Michael J. Quilling

# **Exhibit “A”**

## Legal Notice

### Notice of Private Sale

Notice is given pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2001 in the case styled *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Megafund Corporation, Stanley A. Leitner, Sardaukar Holdings, IBC., and Bradley C. Stark, CIG, Ltd., and James A. Rumpf, Individually and d/b/a CILAK International (Defendants) and Pamela C. Stark (Relief Defendant)*; Civil Action No. 3:05-CV-1328-L, in the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, that the Receiver for James A. Rumpf and CILAK International obtained Court approval on \_\_\_\_\_, 2005 to sell the following real property at private sale:

Lot 2, Block B, CANYON OAKS ADDITION, an Addition to Denton County, Texas, according to the map or plat thereof recorded in Cabinet E, Page 198, Plat Records, Denton County, Texas.

More commonly known as: 110 Eagles Nest Circle, Argyle, Texas.

The sale shall be “as is” and “where is.”

Bona fide offers in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2001 must be received in writing on or before 5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2005. All persons having claims against the property are required to present them within the time and in the manner prescribed by law and advise the Receiver of same in writing on or before 5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2005.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005.

Direct correspondence to:

Michael J. Quilling, Receiver  
Quilling Selander Cummiskey & Lownds, P.C.  
2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(214) 871-2100

(All blanks to be completed after the Court approves the form.)